Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/005,263	SMITH, BRIAN L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John J. Tabone, Jr.	2138
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this a or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject	pplication. If not included on will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>amendment filed 05/1</u>	<u>/8/2006</u> .	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-23</u> .		
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unally all blooms. Some* closed None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 	been received.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc	··	-
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	samento nave been received in th	o national stage application from the
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	ENT of this application. itted. Note the attached EXAMINE	R'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF
<u> </u>		ration is dencient.
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus		2 049) strocked
 (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date 	·	J-946) attached
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in the	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1, each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the	.84(c)) should be written on the drav he header according to 37 CFR 1.12	vings in the front (not the back) of 1(d).
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT I		
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	E Notice of Informal	Detent Application (DTO 459)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Summar	Patent Application (PTO-152)
3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No./Mail D	ate
Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit		nent of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material		
	9. 🗌 Other)
	Pi	GUY LAMARRE RIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 10/005,263 Page 2

Art Unit: 2138

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-23 remain pending in the current application and have been examined.

Claims 1, 11, 16 and 19 are amended in amendment filed 05/18/2006. Claims 11, 16,

19 and 22 were further amended in the Examiner's amendment below.

2. The 35 USC § 112, first and second paragraph rejections are withdrawn by the Examiner as a result of Applicant's amendment filed 05/18/2006 and subsequent Examiner's Amendment below.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 05/18/2006, with respect to independent claims 1,
 11, 16 and 19 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The Non-Final Rejection of Record has been withdrawn.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

4. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Attorney Rory D. Rankin on 08/01/2006.

Art Unit: 2138

The application has been amended as follows:

Please amend claims 1, 11, 16, 19 and 22 as indicated below:

Claim 1:

On line 4, change "a first placement designation;" to "a first placement designation assigned to the first transmitter;".

On line 6, change "a second placement designation," to "a second placement designation assigned to the second transmitter,".

Claim 11:

(Currently Amended) An entity configured to perform device fabrication fault testing, said entity comprising:

a signal connector configured to transmit a signal, wherein said connector is assigned a first placement designation; and

a control unit configured to:

transmit a first data via said signal connector, wherein said first data corresponds to said first placement designation, in response to detecting said first placement designation has been selected for a transmitter bridge test;

transmit a second data different from said first data via said signal connector in response to detecting a placement designation different from said first placement designation has been selected for a transmitter bridge test;

Application/Control Number: 10/005,263

Art Unit: 2138

transmit a third data via said signal connector, wherein said third data corresponds to a second placement designation, in response to detecting said signal connector is coupled to a first receiver, said second placement designation corresponds to said first receiver, and said second placement designation has been selected for a receiver bridge test; and

transmit a fourth data different from said third data via said signal connector in response to detecting a placement designation different from said second placement designation has been selected for a receiver bridge test;

wherein in response to receiving data transmitted by the control unit, a receiver is

configured to detect a fabrication fault in response to determining data

received from the control unit does not match expected data.

Claim 16:

(Currently Amended) An entity configured to facilitate device fabrication fault testing, said entity comprising:

a signal connector configured to receive a signal, wherein said connector is assigned a first placement designation; and

a control unit configured to:

receive a first data via said signal connector;

detect a first device fabrication fault in response to detecting:

Application/Control Number: 10/005,263

Art Unit: 2138

said signal connector is coupled to a transmitter assigned a second placement designation;

Page 5

said second placement designation has been selected for a transmitter bridge test; and

said first data does not correspond to said second placement designation;

detect a second device fabrication fault in response to detecting:

said first placement designation has been selected for a receiver

bridge test; and

said first data does not correspond to said first placement designation.

Claim 19:

(Currently Amended) A system configured to perform device fabrication fault testing comprising:

a first entity including a first transmitter, wherein said first transmitter comprises a first signal connector, and wherein the first signal connector corresponds to is assigned a first placement designation; and

a second entity including a first receiver, wherein said first receiver comprises a second signal connector, and wherein said second signal connector corresponds to is assigned a second placement designation;

wherein in response to detecting a transmitter test:

Art Unit: 2138

the first entity is configured to convey a first data which corresponds to said first placement designation, and the second entity is configured to:

expect to receive said first data, in response to detecting the selection of said first placement designation; and detect a fabrication fault in response to determining received data does not match the first data;

the first entity is configured to convey a second data different from said first data, in response to detecting the selection of a third placement designation which is different from said first placement designation[[;]], and the second entity is configured to:

expect to receive said second data, in response to detecting the selection of said third placement designation; and detect a fabrication fault in response to determining received data does not match the second data;

wherein in response to detecting a receiver test:

the first entity is configured to convey a third data which corresponds to said second placement designation, and the second entity is configured to:

expect to receive said second third data, in response to detecting the selection of said second placement designation,[[;]] and

Art Unit: 2138

detect a fabrication fault in response to determining received data does not match the third data;

the first entity is configured to convey a fourth data different from said
third data, in response to detecting the selection of a fourth
placement designation which is different from said second
placement designation[[;]], and the second entity is configured to:

expect to receive said second data, in response to detecting the
selection of said fourth placement designation; and
detect a fabrication fault in response to determining received data does
not match the fourth data.

Claim 22:

On line 3, change "bridge" to "transmitter".

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-23 are allowed.

The following is an Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance:

The present invention relates to the field of digital communications and, more particularly, to the test and debug of digital systems.

The claimed invention as set forth in claim 1 (broadest claim) recites features such as:

A method for detecting device fabrication faults in a system, which comprises:

Application/Control Number: 10/005,263

Art Unit: 2138

transmitting a first data via a first transmitter, wherein the first data corresponds to a first placement designation;

transmitting a second data via a second transmitter, wherein the second data corresponds to a second placement designation, and wherein the second placement designation is different than the first placement designation;

receiving the first data at a first receiver;

receiving the second data at a second receiver; and

detecting a fault in response to determining one or both of the received first and second data do not match an expected data.

The prior arts of record teach the claimed method for detecting device fabrication faults in a system which comprises transmitting a first data (test pattern 300) via a first transmitter (203, Fig. 5B); transmitting a second data (test pattern 300) via a second transmitter (203, Fig. 5B). The prior arts of record also teach receiving the first data (300, 200S-1, Fig. 5B) at a first receiver (204, 200S-1, Fig. 5B) and receiving the second data (300, 200S-2, Fig. 5B) at a second receiver and detecting a fault in response to determining one or both of the received first and second data do not match an expected data. (Col. 6, II. 30-46, col. 7, II. 7-14). Further, The prior arts of record teach similar limitations in independent claims 11, 16 and 19; Smith et al. (US-6505317) is one example of such prior arts.

The prior arts of record, however, fail to teach, singly or in combination, features directed to placement designations, which are utilized to describe how "contact points...are assigned a relative placement designation". Further, a "placement

Page 9

designation of either the transmitter or receiver, or both, in an adjacent transmitter/receiver pair" may be "temporarily reassigned with a different designation" as claimed in independent claims 11, 16 and 19. As such, modification of the prior art of record to include the claimed *placement designations* can only be motivated by hindsight reasoning, or by changing the intended use and function of the prior art themselves. Therefore, it is not clear that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have made the necessary modifications to the prior art of record to encompass the *placement designations* set forth in the present application. Moreover, none of the prior arts of record, taken either alone or in combination, anticipate nor render obvious the *placement designations* as set forth in independent claims 1, 11, 16 and 19. Hence, claims 1-23 are allowable over the prior arts of record.

The Examiner agrees with the Applicant's arguments with regard to this feature in view of the arts of record; therefore, the Examiner favors the allowance of claims 1-23. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the Issue Fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the Issue Fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Tabone, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3827. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,263 Page 10

Art Unit: 2138

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John J. Tabone, Jr.

Examiner

Art Unit 2138

GUY LAMARRÉ PRIMARY EXAMINER